

REMARKS

Applicant thanks the Examiner for indicating that claims 24-42 are allowable and respectfully requests reconsideration of the present application in view of the foregoing amendments and in view of the reasons that follow.

Claims 1-23 have been previously cancelled.

Claims 24, 25, 32, 35-39, 43, and 44 are currently being amended.

Claims 47-59 are being added.

This amendment adds, changes and/or deletes claims in this application. A detailed listing of all claims that are, or were, in the application, irrespective of whether the claim(s) remain under examination in the application, is presented, with an appropriate defined status identifier.

After amending the claims as set forth above, claims 24-59 are now pending in this application.

First, Applicant notes that it has amended claims 24, 25, 32, 35-39, and 44 for clarification and consistency purposes only. For example, claim 24 has been amended to consistently recite “at least one item” and “at least one server” to maintain proper antecedent basis. Applicant does not intend to narrow the scope of these claims as a result of these amendments. Because these amendments do not raise any new issues, Applicant submits that each of claims 24-42 remains allowable over the prior art for at least the same reasons discussed in previous communications.

The Examiner conducted an interview with Applicant’s former representative on July 21, 2005, during which the Examiner indicated that independent claim 43 could be amended to overcome the cited prior art. In particular, the Examiner indicated that limitations directed to simultaneous fetching of a first and link content, similar to those recited in claims 24, 32, and 36, could be added. Thereafter, responsibility for the present application was transferred to current counsel. On February 22, 2007, a final Office Action was issued by the Examiner

indicating that claims 24-42 were allowable, but that claims 43-46 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Publication 2001/0032254 (Hawkins).

In response to the Examiner's rejection, Applicant has amended claim 43 to more particularly describe the simultaneous fetching feature recited in the present application. Therefore, independent claim 43 now recites that the browser is able to retrieve first content from the server simultaneously with further content linked to the first content.

Hawkins teaches a system and method of wireless Internet access where mobile devices that lack certain processing power, memory, etc. , for example, can download a compressed hyperlink tag. The compressed hyperlink tag acts as a compact place holder for a hyperlink, where the tag is utilized to effectuate indirect hyperlinking. This is done to save memory in mobile devices, for example. In other words, a hyperlink is not directly accessed by the mobile device, but rather the tag is used to send a request to a proxy server to return a document referenced by the original hyperlink. (See, e.g., paragraphs [0379]-[0384] of Hawkins). Therefore, Hawkins teaches a system and method where, for example, a first document or web page is accessed, and a hyperlinked document or web page is subsequently accessed. In contrast, independent claim 43 requires that copies of both a first content and link content are fetched and sent to a communication device simultaneously. Therefore, various embodiments described in the present application facilitate user browsing when the communication device is not connected to a network, where further downloading of content (e.g., link content) related to the already downloaded content (e.g., first content) is not necessary. (See, e.g., page 4, lines 8-12, page 15, line 23-page 16, line 15, and page 22, lines 21-23 of the present application).

Additionally, independent claims 47, 51, 53, 56, and 58 have been added. Applicant submits that these claims are allowable for the same reasons as discussed above, and for the same reasons as previously presented with regard to claims 24, 32, 36, and 43. Because none of the references cited by the Examiner, either separately or in combination with each other, teach fetching and/or sending first content and link content simultaneously, Applicant submits that each of independent claims 24, 32, 36, 43, 47, 51, 53, 56, and 58 are patentable over this prior art. Furthermore, because dependent claims 25-31, 33-35, 37-42, 44-46, 48-50, 52, 54,

55, 57, and 59 are each directly or indirectly dependent upon independent claims 24, 32, 36, 43, 47, 51, 53, 56, and 58, Applicant submits that each of these claims are allowable for at least the same reasons as discussed above.

Applicant believes that the present application is now in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration of the application as amended is respectfully requested.

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone if it is felt that a telephone interview would advance the prosecution of the present application.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required regarding this application under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16-1.17, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 50-0872. Should no proper payment be enclosed herewith, as by a check or credit card payment form being in the wrong amount, unsigned, post-dated, otherwise improper or informal or even entirely missing, the Commissioner is authorized to charge the unpaid amount to Deposit Account No. 50-0872. If any extensions of time are needed for timely acceptance of papers submitted herewith, Applicant hereby petitions for such extension under 37 C.F.R. §1.136 and authorizes payment of any such extensions fees to Deposit Account No. 50-0872.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: May 22, 2007

By /G. Peter Albert Jr./

FOLEY & LARDNER LLP
Customer Number: 30542
Telephone: (858) 847-6735
Facsimile: (858) 792-6773

G. Peter Albert Jr.
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 37,268